Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/845,526	DULL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Venkataraman Balasubramanian	1624	

All Participants:

(1) *Venkataraman Balasubramanian*.

(2) *David Bradin*.

Status of Application: *Allowance*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *25 February 2004*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
75

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Deletion of non-elected species in claim 75 was discussed. Counselor authorized to delete the first two pyrimidine species in claim 75. Such will be done by examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)